Stakeholder Interests & Potential Solutions: Riverside Crosswalks in Town Lake Park

Categories of Interests (alphabetical order; not ranked)	Interests Identified	Potential Solutions
Aesthetics / Intent of the Design	A. Aesthetics of the park B. Scenic quality preserved C. Minimize impact of obstacle (road) D. Interim plan, manual E. Opportunity to create vision for new perceptions of park and Riverside Drive	 Landscaping – solution for continuity, aesthetics, attractiveness of roadway; intrinsic to design Landscaping and sidewalks to encourage pedestrians to cross at crosswalks without creating visual barriers, blocking view Look at lighting to signal park: AE standards and funding: invite AE Director
Compliance / Enforcement	F. Compliance G. Law enforcement H. Consistency with Council resolution	
Costs	I. Cost to implement J. Cost to maintain K. Cost to enforce	 Cost: Public Works will look at other funding for solutions outside park budget for Riverside Dr.; mobility enhancement (\$125,000 for installation and maintenance for traffic solutions; cannot fund for landscaping)
Flexibility / Activity Management	 L. Ability to address different areas; flexibility for different activities M. Event and holiday planning N. Compatibility of crosswalk locations with natural concentrations of activity: funnel points O. Enhance and maximize pedestrian flow P. Evening and weekends: flexibility to address different uses Q. Make sure active use (peak/high use also) of park is safe; balance different users R. Long Center and Palmer users (school buses; safe; efficiently moving vehicles in and out; peak times coincide) S. Flexible staffing – coordination 	 Develop Activity Management Plan: Framework that could be tweaked Bus Management – Service Court Circulation within park: pathways, hierarchy of crossings; identify destinations and vary solutions for each crosswalk; evaluate each for impacts Add a crosswalk with one as primary crosswalk Width of crosswalks evaluated individually for activities/usage Pedestrians at far East end temporary closing plan tie in with Long Center and bus plan Updated Long Center Plans

Park Access	Dillo on Riverside Walking to the park from surrounding neighborhoods	14. Dillo on Riverside
Process for Decision-Making	V. Consensus W. Process: equitable to other projects X. Get this project bid	
Public Perception of Park	Y. Public perception: park is safe and accessible Z. Mobility enhancements upfront	15. Good marketing plan: park is accessible; people feel welcome; positive 16. Marketing parking and plan for signage; remote parking; Dillo/Cap Metro 17. Radio communication tool; permanent signage 18. Communication Plan for access: 19. signage 20. advertising 21. public notification 22. landscaping 23. lower frustration by changing behaviors
Safety of Park Users	AA. Make sure active use (peak/high use) of park is safe; balance different users	24. (see Activity Management / Flexibility)
	BB. Biking Community's Interests	25. Wide raised crossing for bikes - would not unprost - Si
	CC. Buses / School Children DD. Long Center and Palmer users: EE.school buses FF. safe GG. efficiently moving vehicles in and out HH. peak times coincide	26. Bus Management – Service Court
	II. Emergency Response Vehicles: Response Times	27. Revisit Fire and EMS interests - frinay regions.

billing June 2

oversimevents?

J		/***
ded a	JJ. Mobility impaired users (wheelchair accessible / strollers, etc.) KK. Park Pedestrians LL. Safety MM. miles per hour NN. pedestrian visibility OO. Compatibility of crosswalk locations with natural concentrations of activity: funnel points PP. Enhance and maximize pedestrian flow QQ. Encourage pedestrians to cross at crossings	28. Closing road from roundabout to parking lot for weekends 29. Overhead signs at crosswalks and autilities 30. Embedded pavement flashers fortune 31. Landscaping and sidewalks to encourage pedestrians to cross at crosswalks without creating visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers, blocking view helpful to be the fundamental visual barriers at the fundamental visual barriers and visual barriers at visibility of crosswalks at visual visual barriers. 31. Endoctorial visual barriers at visibility of crosswalks at visual barriers at visibility barriers. 32. Change classification of Riverside to park classification. 33. 15 mph – change culture 34. Testing closure of Riverside on a regular basis well-and visual barriers. 35. Add a crosswalk with one as primary crosswalk surface. 36. Enhance visibility of crosswalks at visual barriers. 37. Pedestrian activated signal(s) many product at visual barriers. 38. Add something on S. 1st to signal park entrance; will be a visual barriers. 39. Evaluate with a test case if sunset creates visibility hazard (s) and visual barriers.
Mad .	tribing meiter Ens (fine	signage 39. Evaluate with a test case if sunset creates visibility
C 07 N 1'1'	RR. Runners Races pverleal signs	straight westbound shot from the roundabout to the Lamar intersection
Traffic Mobility	SS. Motor Vehicles: Cars and Buses TT.Riverside traffic flow when no pedestrians UU. Commuter interests: reduce Austin's congestion VV. Continuity of Riverside – Capitol Metro	ENS -
Other Interests	WW. Identify traffic counts	Testuring -

need a field skeed stray on file altertisting lower speed an already descend

another structural

step signs after Kennets activated upads